

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/688,137	<b>Applicant(s)</b> JOOS ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Leon Y. Lum	<b>Art Unit</b> 1641	

**All Participants:**

(1) Leon Y. Lum.

(2) Marina Gordey.

**Status of Application:** Pending

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 28 July 2005

**Time:** 12:40pm EST

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant's representative stated that a response to the Office Action mailed 24 January 2005 has not be sent since a three month extension was paid to maintain continuity for filing a continuation and that the instant case can therefore be abandoned.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)